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Introduction

During July 29-31, 2008 NOAA’s Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL) co-hosted a workshop – *Impact of Climate Change on the Great Lakes Ecosystem – A NOAA Science Needs Assessment to Meet Emerging Challenges*

The workshop was held at the School of Natural Resources and Environment University of Michigan Central Campus, Ann Arbor, Michigan. Workshop co-hosts included: the Cooperative Institute for Limnology and Ecosystems Research (CILER), the Great Lakes Sea Grant Network and the NOAA Great Lakes Regional Team. Event co-sponsors included: GLERL, CILER and the Pennsylvania, Ohio, Wisconsin, Illinois/Indiana, Minnesota and Michigan Sea Grant Programs.

The overarching purpose of the workshop was to develop a NOAA research strategy that addresses climate change impact on Great Lakes coastal ecosystems as driven by user needs. The workshop was unique in its sole focus on NOAA scientific research needs assessment and was directed toward identifying and prioritizing research needs and future plans toward understanding climate change impact on physical, chemical, and biological processes in Great Lakes coastal waters and connecting channels. Previous workshops, conferences, and reports that partly or fully address climate change impacts in the Great Lake region are listed in Appendix I.

The workshop brought together NOAA and other Great Lakes scientists together with stakeholders to:

1) Examine the current state of knowledge of the physical, chemical, and biological impact of climate change on Great Lakes coastal waters and connecting channels. Such a knowledge base includes current scientific understanding, products, services, expertise, monitoring and observing systems, datasets and forecast models.

2) Develop a dialogue with Great Lakes stakeholders to identify their key needs related to the impact of climate change on Great Lakes resources.

3) Document key challenges that climate change impacts pose in continuing effective management, restoration and protection of the integrity of the Great Lakes Ecosystem and related resources of particular concern to stakeholders. Key Scientific Theme areas included:

   a) **Physical Environment** – Nearshore and Offshore Waves and Currents, Rip Currents, Storm Surge, Coastal Erosion, Spill / Search and Rescue, Ice Thickness/Extent/Duration, Seasonal Warming / Cooling, Vertical Temperature Profiles, Mixing and Dead Zones (Hypoxia), Seasonal Precipitation and Frequency of Heavy Rainstorms, Flooding, Combined Sewer Overflows, Erosion, and Sediment and Nutrient loading
   
   b) **Water Quantity** – Lake Water Levels and Flows in Tributaries and Connecting Channels
   
   c) **Water Quality** - Eutrophication and Nutrients, Contaminants, Turbidity/Clarity, Taste and Odor
   
   d) **Human Health** – Waterborne Illnesses, Beach Closures, harmful algal blooms, drinking water quality
e) **Fish Recruitment and Productivity** – Abundance by Species, Size, Condition, Distribution, Habitat, and Food Web Structure and Function

f) **Aquatic Invasive Species** – New Introductions, Spread and Ecosystem Impacts

4) Identify and apply presently available scientific expertise, products, services, monitoring and observing systems and forecast models that best support needs of stakeholders in confronting impacts of climate change in protecting, managing, or restoring Great Lakes resources.

5) Identify new scientific research efforts and resulting products that will enhance stakeholder capabilities to better anticipate impacts of climate change on Great Lakes resources and develop more effective, pre-emptive strategies to meet new challenges in managing, protecting, or restoring such resources.

6) Compile and disseminate a report summarizing workshop proceedings and recommendations.

The full workshop Agenda is listed in Appendix II. Workshop format on a day-by-day basis is listed below:

**Day 1** (Tuesday, July 29, 2008; 8 AM – 4:15 PM) featured a series of scientific presentations / Q&A’s on the current state of knowledge of present and expected future impact of climate change on the Great Lakes ecosystem, with prime focus on effects in coastal waters and connecting channels. Panel sessions were organized around the six key scientific theme areas identified above including: Physical Environment, Water Quantity, Water Quality, Human Health, Fish Recruitment and Productivity and Aquatic Invasive Species.

Day 1 also included a 5 PM Public Keynote Address, *Hockey Sticks and Politics: Science in the Arena of National Climate Policy* by Dr. Henry N. Pollack, Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geology, University of Michigan.

**Day 2** (Wednesday, July 30, 2008; 8 AM – 5 PM) featured a series of stakeholder presentations / Q&A’s outlining key issues and concerns in confronting anticipated impacts of climate change on the Great Lakes ecosystem meeting new challenges in managing, protecting or restoring resources. Panel sessions were organized around four specific stakeholders groups including: Recreation and Tourism, Land Use and Coastal Zone Managers, Commercial and Municipal Water Users, and Regional, State, Tribal and Local Policymakers and Managers.

Day 2 also included three Plenary Presentations including:

*Climate Change: From Science to Solutions* by Dr. Rosina M. Bierbaum, Dean, School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan

Two presentations by Dr. Thomas R. Karl, Director, NOAA National Climatic Data Center, *Weather and Climate Extremes in a Changing Climate and An Update on the Present Status of a NOAA National Climate Service*
Day 3 (Thursday, July 31, 2008; 8 AM – 3 PM) was comprised of a set of 6 concurrent Breakout Sessions that addressed science and stakeholder issues divided among the six Key Scientific Theme areas defined above.

The workshop was a first step toward developing an expanded dialogue between the Great Lakes scientific community and Great Lakes stakeholders that promotes and strengthens two-way communication and an ongoing process which:

1) Increases stakeholder awareness of existing and future scientific products, services, and expertise that will enable stakeholders to develop more effective, science-based strategies to meet future challenges in managing, protecting or restoring Great Lakes resources in the face of climate change impacts.
2) Increases awareness in the Great Lakes scientific community of immediate and emerging stakeholder needs and allow scientists to respond to such needs by fine-tuning existing research activities, or by planning and developing new research efforts.

Breakout Session Summary

Day 3 (Thursday, July 31st) featured two sets of six concurrent Breakout Sessions corresponding to the Key Scientific Theme Areas – Physical Environment, Water Quantity, Watershed Hydrology, Water Quality and Human Health, Fish Recruitment and Productivity and Aquatic Invasive Species.

During the first 90-minute Breakout Sessions participants were asked to address and discuss questions in the following areas below:

First Breakout – What Do We Need and What is Missing?

Information and Research Needs

1) What do you see as major research or information gaps in physical environment, water quantity, watershed hydrology, water quality and human health, fish recruitment and productivity, or aquatic invasive species related to climate change science?

Priority Areas

2) What do you see as immediate needs in this theme area (within the next 2-4 years) for Great Lakes climate change research?
3) What do you see as longer-term needs (within the next 5-7 years) for Great Lakes climate change research?

Training Needs

4) What type of training, if any, would help you in management efforts?

Information and Communication Needs

5) Who are your stakeholders and how do you engage them in this issue?
6) What scientific products, services, expertise have you sought and from where?

7) What is the best way for us to communicate to you new information, tools and technologies related to this issue?

During the second 75-minute Breakout Sessions, participants were asked to address and discuss the following:

Second Breakout – What is Needed to Get Us There?

1) What products/services should NOAA be aiming to develop and what type are they (tools, technology, methods, forecasts, models or information)?

2) At what scale (geographic and spatial) should NOAA work to address this need?

3) What collaborations, integration and coordination are needed to achieve useful development and application of products and services?

4) Why is it of value to society?

Appendix III presents a listing of issues, needs and suggestions identified during the First and Second Breakout Sessions within the Key Scientific Theme Areas.

Review and Synthesis of First and Second Breakout Session Results by Overarching Subject Categories

An extensive review of results of the first and second breakout sessions revealed a set of overarching subject categories including:


2. Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base

3. Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems

4. Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities

5. Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement

6. Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding

Appendix IV provides a table listing key issues, needs, activities suggestions identified within each of the overarching subject categories during breakouts of the six Key Scientific Theme Areas and this information serves as the basis of the Summary that follows:

Summary

Physical Environment


The Physical Environment breakout put strong emphasis on forecasts and model development, in particular: a unified lake level forecast model that includes climate change impacts; three-dimensional lake-wide hydrodynamic and ecosystem models; and a Great Lakes Regional Earth System Model. In addition, they cited improved ice modeling and forecasting and increased capabilities in
forecasting climate change-related increase of intensity of storms as areas for additional work. Overall scaling for all models spanned from global to regional to local and was viewed as a critical part of model development.

2) Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base

Although the breakout identified a wide array of models that warranted creation, identification of research to support such work was limited and there was reference to only two areas: a need to better understand the effects of ice on ecosystem structure and an expanded understanding of coastal processes.

3) Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems

The breakout identified an overall need for better, more complete and unified data and datasets on a lake-region-wide scale. Feedback on data quality and making data more accessible to managers was also given high priority.

4) Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities

Only one need was cited – production of digital bathymetric maps on a lake- and region-wide basis.

5) Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement

Key issues in outreach and communications included recognition of a need to: more effectively get lake level variation forecasts to the public; package research and model results in a form that can be readily used by managers and decision makers (at regional to local levels); and plan and conduct research that supports better management.

6) Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding

Given all of the above recognition of needs for new models and related research, there was a corresponding need cited to make a strong case to support funding of such work in terms of economic, societal and ecological benefits and outcomes.

**Water Quantity**


Key areas for forecasts and model development included improvement of water level forecast models to produce 5-10-year outlooks; forecast products to help; improve prediction of extreme events (2-3-year outlook); and an improved, more plausible time series model (precipitation and temperature).

2) Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base

High priority research needs included a more complete understanding of: the sensitivity of the lakes to temperature; impacts of channelization and changes in
inflows and outflows on water quantity; longer-term water level trends (5-10-year increments); and impact of water quantity removal from the basin (2007 Groundwater Conservation Advisory Commission)

3) Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems

In general, there was a consensus in support of more consistent, effective and continuous monitoring throughout the basin with a high priority on full support of the implementation of the Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) in the Great Lakes; i.e. the Great Lakes Observing System (GLOS). Near-term establishment of better observing/reporting networks and model outputs was also viewed as a high priority along with deployment of an instrument network to measure evaporation / evapo-transpiration.

4) Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities

Two key items identified included the development of high-resolution topography / digital elevation maps to support modeling and, creation of present and projected climate maps across a global-state-zip code scale

5) Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement

The breakout cited critical needs to take full advantage of new communication technologies and ensure more extensive and effective use of communication networks and better organization of web information in meeting stakeholder needs with a corresponding greater identification of end-users, their needs and feedback.

6) Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding

There was a general recognition of a lack of information and research gaps on how water quantity affects economic vitality and an overall need cited for documenting economic value of climate change research (to justify additionally required research funding / staffing))

Watershed Hydrology


Prime areas for new or expanded efforts included: improved flood forecasting systems; institutionalization of water quality models via interagency collaboration (10 sq. km scale); development of an integrated climate watershed model for all of the lakes; and better models/practices for non-point loadings in response to increased precipitation.

2) Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base

Only one area for research was cited – assessment of projected changes in future watershed parameters and impacts of crops/agriculture, erosion and natural vegetation.
3) *Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems*

Overall, there was common recognition of inadequate data pertaining to water quality, measured precipitation and stream flow (gauges).

4) *Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities*

There was full support suggested for basin-wide floodplain maps and flood forecasting systems dependent on scale of the community.

5) *Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement*

There was a recognized need to better translate the developing knowledge on climate change and its likely impacts into changes in the everyday practice of consultants and public servants. An important tool for this purpose would be guidelines issued by professional associations, like the American Society of Civil Engineers and the American Water Works Association, in collaboration with NOAA about how to include the uncertain knowledge we have on climate change into infrastructure planning and operation. This would help consultants and public servants to overcome the lack of common practices on the subject. Such guidelines should be periodically updated.

An additional instrument that could help in including Climate Change knowledge into present-day infrastructure planning are the continuous education courses that professionals must take for maintaining their licenses. NOAA should work alone or with professional associations to develop courses regarding the possible climate change impact on hydrology and water quality and how to plan for minimizing their impact on the society.

6) *Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding*

Incorporation of the social sciences and a need for cost/benefit ratios under climate change scenarios were identified as important areas to fully address watershed hydrology issues.

**Water Quality and Human Health**

The overall sense from the Water Quality and Human Health breakout was that the development of predictive models that provide differing scenarios correlating climate change impacts on water quality, for example, bacteria concentrations and nutrient inputs to water levels and hydrodynamics is critical. Additionally, increased outreach and communication to share information, keep up-to-date on research development, and determine which groups are conducting what types of research was seen as valuable.

1) *Forecasts, Models, Prediction, Outlooks, Scenarios, Predictive / Decision-Making Tools, Uncertainty, Risk and Risk Assessment*

The breakout highlighted two key areas for predictive model development: one to assess effects of increased storm/rainfall events on combined sewer overflow
(CSO) and their impact on drinking water and beaches in order to better prepare for any infrastructure needs such as moving water intakes and other long term control or construction plans; the other focused on assessing impacts of climate change on overall water quality (physical, chemical and biological). High priority was also given to near-term development of risk assessments of climate change impacts on human health and local economies.

Immediate Needs:
- Characterize and prioritize potential health risks from toxic chemical cycling, water treatment etc to see which may be most harmful
- Develop risk assessments for potential impacts-human health, economic impacts
- Utilizing predictive tools to develop effective models

2) Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base

Prime research areas cited included quantification of watershed nutrient loading and groundwater nutrient concentrations and how nutrient loading/concentrations may differ based on changes in water quantity, and its impacts on drinking water quality. Determination of frequency, duration and intensity of bacteria affecting beach closures, how climate change will impact bacteria survival. improved understanding of contaminant effects on fish populations (and implications for subsistence fishing and lower socioeconomic communities), and evaluation of climate-related health effects (water- and airborne) along with exposure routes and vectors.

Immediate Needs:
- Develop specific climate change scenarios to define the problem (fish harvesting, algal blooms, beach closures)
  - What are specific consequences (water flow, water quality)
  - What are human needs and how might they change?
- Assessing water intakes in the lakes and wastewater discharges
  - Inventories of shipping channels, marinas-which may be most affected by lowered water levels
  - What could happen?
- Information on watershed discharges affecting water quantity-water intakes

3) Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems

The breakout suggested wider data collection on nutrient loading when/where there are gaps from all possible sources (in coastal zone and nearshore). There was also a need cited for data on frequency, concentration and speciation of bacteria related to beach closures (watershed-by-watershed scale; weekly/daily time frame during recreational season). Development of continuous data stream sensor technology for monitoring beach bacteria levels was also rated as an important research objective. Finally the breakout recommended documentation and organization of all data on algal blooms to determine if there are climate-related trends.

Immediate Needs:
- Document and organize all the data we have about algal blooms to see if there really are trends due to climate change-an inventory
4) **Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities**

(No issues addressed)

5) **Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement**

There was widespread agreement on the importance of communicating tangible impacts of climate change to the public to better communicate uncertainties without leading to hysteria. Other key areas included identification of infrastructure issues for future planning, through determining infrastructures such as drinking water intakes that may need to be extended or moved as a result of water level changes. Another key discussion topic is local health departments, managers, and local units of government are not aware of the state of science being conducted by agencies such as NOAA so there is a need to bridge the gap between local health departments and the scientific community, encourage greater interaction between NOAA and state and regional agencies, and promote greater reliance on communication technologies through brief bulletins, an email list-serve, or a centralized database website. Furthermore, the creation of a centralized database could provide information on which agencies/organizations are doing certain research, what the progress of the research is, and how to contact each other to collaborate was discussed as a valuable tool. Outreach in the form of communicating social science, economic impacts, and risks assessments to scientists and vice versa is also needed as well as communicating to the non-scientific community.

Immediate Needs:
- Better coordinating of groups working on similar problems or issues
- Centralize information about people and projects through a website or other electronic forum - GLANSIS or GLRRIN could serve as the model

6) **Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding**

1. Social science research is vital. Key areas included a need to fully assess the financial and economic implications of bacterial infections at community level and effects on human health. End users discussed the need for specific recommendations for how to deal with and adapt to water quality changes. What are the impacts of climate change on tourism and shipping channels due to water levels? What are recommendations for urban infrastructure due to potential for more storms or?

   In addition, social science research is needed to determine cost and benefits, socioeconomic, and health impacts of poor water quality or water accessibility as well as the impact of climate change on economies.

   Immediate Needs:
   - Socioeconomic and health implications of lack of access to water

**Fish Recruitment and Productivity**

1) **Forecasts, Models, Prediction, Outlooks, Scenarios, Predictive / Decision-Making Tools, Uncertainty, Risk and Risk Assessment**
The breakout recommended the development of several models including:

- A global/regional Great Lakes climate change model (basin-wide and by lake);
- An improved higher resolution three-dimensional hydrodynamic model incorporating a greater number of depth strata driven by output from the regional climate change model;
- A bio-physical food web model (fish recruitment) coupled with the 3-D hydrodynamic model.

These models would then be used to forecast fish recruitment and productivity as a function of climate change scenarios.

2) **Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base**

Key research objectives highlighted by the breakout included:

- Determine how inter-annual variability in the physical environment (e.g., water temperature, frequency of upwelling events, changes in large scale circulation patterns, etc) interact with multiple stressors (nutrient loading, invasive species) to impact aquatic food webs;
- Examine impacts of climate change on ice cover and implications for ontogeny of spring bloom, especially in Lake Erie;
- Assess impact of climate change on physical factors and subsequent effect on fish spatial distribution for various life stages.
- Identify fish species most vulnerable to climate change impacts
  - Measure changes in benthos productivity in nearshore and offshore areas;
  - Forecast changes in land use, corresponding changes in wetland distribution and their subsequent impact on fish recruitment and productivity.
- Obtain a better understanding of the influence of the lower food web on fish recruitment and productivity.

3) **Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems**

The breakout emphasized the need to:

- Improve satellite monitoring of water color for chlorophyll-a (Coastwatch, AVHRR, lake-wide; 0.5 – 1 km resolution) with a nearshore focus;
- Expand and improve technology of observation platforms; perpetuate long-term datasets and monitoring.

4) **Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities**

High priority activities recommended by the breakout included:

- Conduct comprehensive high resolution mapping of bathymetry, bottom type and associated species throughout the Great Lakes,
- Conduct high resolution physical and biological mapping of fish habitats (e.g. map/monitor spawning substrates; egg and fry production; egg/larvae predators; current patterns and velocities; and thermal structure).
5) Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement

Activities identified for promoting and highlighting research on climate change impact on fish recruitment and productivity:
● Offer continuing education for the media;
● Develop a climate extension service that would interact with state climatologists;
● Research national and international outreach, communications, collaboration, and scientist-stakeholder engagement activities regarding climate change and fisheries recruitment;
● Host a scientific symposium on impacts of climate change on the Great Lakes fishery;
● Improved increased use of web technologies to disseminate research findings;
● Publish research findings in peer-reviewed journals;

6) Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding

High priority objectives included:
● Assess impacts of climate change on fishery harvest and economically important harvested species;
● Evaluate economic impacts on commercial and sport fishing industries and the need for modifying regulations;
● Create more opportunities for inter-disciplinary interactions among social scientists, limnologists and aquatic ecologists;

Aquatic Invasive Species


The breakout assigned high priority to building basin-wide and lake-by-lake forecast models identifying high-risk areas most vulnerable to AIS invasions, as well as most-likely invaders and/or native species most threatened by AIS-induced extinction. Another identified key objective was use of forecast models and analysis to create scenarios of future species composition with particular focus on loss of native species with high economic or societal value and identification of a target food web.

2) Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base

Suggested key research needs included: near-term improved understanding of algae/quagga mussel interactions to support models based on temperature and productivity; and identification of vulnerable species and potential loss of native predator-prey relationships and energy flow changes;

3) Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems

A high priority was assigned to expanding AIS monitoring basin-wide at the highest possible resolution while standardizing data collection and promoting
greater development of expertise and increased reliance on remote sensing technology, particularly for species such as *phragmites*.

4) **Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities**

Per identification of high-risk areas noted above, target resources with mapping, database and GIS overlay including water quality, use, threatened and endangered species, vectors and temperature regimes.

5) **Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement**

Key activities cited were: increased production/dissemination of peer-reviewed and informal publications, development of greater coordination among web sites; increased use of list serves (e.g. Enviromich); and expanding outreach in explaining the algae/quagga mussel interaction and its impacts.

6) **Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding**

Two key high priority activities suggested by the breakout were: meeting needs for more information on AIS economic and ecological impacts relative to the climate change outlook and, recognition that uncertainty, lack of funding and failure to make an economic case for AIS / climate change research are barriers to greater stakeholder involvement.

**Recommendations**

The Great Lakes’ physical and biological communities have been affected by the different climate of the last decade. The physical changes in the Great Lakes are noted by higher water temperatures, less ice cover, increased evaporation, lower water levels, increased dead zone, and frequent, high-intensity storms. The biological changes are noted by collapse of Lake Huron’s fisheries, changes in the food web, increased beach closures, VHS, and increased toxic algae.

These physical and biological changes have resulted in damages to both the health and economic wellbeing of the Great Lakes. Understanding, forecasting, and translating the impacts of these changes to the Great Lakes community will greatly aid stakeholders (commercial power, commercial shipping, recreational boaters, beach users, municipal water supplies, fisheries, etc) in making wise decisions regarding their use of this vast national resource.

NOAA’s role is critical in mitigating damages to both the health and economic wellbeing of the Great Lakes. NOAA can provide the forecasts and monitoring packaged into useful products and services that the Great Lakes community needs. Therefore, it is recommended that:

1) NOAA should develop water quality forecasts to address drinking water quality, beach closures, and harmful algal blooms;
2) NOAA should develop long-term (5 to 10 years) water quantity forecasts;
3) NOAA should improve flood forecasting;
4) NOAA should develop a better observing system equipped with water quality indicators; and,
5) NOAA should translate their forecasts and data acquisition into user-friendly products and services.

To accomplish these recommendations, NOAA can take the following initial steps:

1) Establish a Regional Integrated Sciences and Assessment element (RISA) in the Great Lakes which should have social scientists, economists, and science-policy employees. These NOAA employees can provide needed risk assessments with respect to Human Health and Invasive species as well as translate NOAA’s forecasts and observation data into user-friendly products and services.
2) Invest in supercomputing networks for the Great Lakes. Climate, water quality, food web, and water quantity models will require high-power computing.
3) Invest in Great Lakes observing systems. Water quality buoys need to be deployed at municipal water intakes; algorithms need to be better refined for satellite observations of HABS; and, acoustic instruments need to be refined for fish recruitment.
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Appendix I - Previous Workshops, Conferences, and Related Reports on Impacts of Climate Change in the Great Lakes Region

1) Confronting Climate Change in the Great Lakes Region – A one-day workshop that brought together Great Lakes foundations, non-government organizations, agencies and universities, Flint Michigan, June 27, 2008

http://www.miseagrant.umich.edu/climate/climate-adapting-workshop.html


3) Wittman, S. 2008 Climate Change in the Great Lakes Region – starting a public discussion, Summary Report, University of Wisconsin Sea Grant Institute, Publication No. WISCU-W-07-001

Report is a summary of the “Starting a Public Discussion” series of eight seminars on likely impacts of climate change on Wisconsin and the Great Lakes Region. The seminars were held at seven Wisconsin locations in 2007.


4) Climate Change in the Great Lakes – A Conference at Michigan State University, April 9-10, 2008

http://www.environment.msu.edu/climatechange/presentations08.html

Previous Climate Change Conferences at Michigan State University


http://www.environment.msu.edu/climatechange/presentations07.html

Stakeholder Workshop, December 1, 2005


http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=188305


http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=188306


http://www.ucsusa.org/greatlakes/glchallengereport.html

Note: Updated 2005 Executive Summary of 2003 UCS/ESA Report is at:


Note: Report summarizes findings from series of six workshops listed below:

**Opening Workshop -** "Climate Change in the Upper Great Lakes", May 4-7, 1998, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

**Follow-up Workshops -**

1 "Climate Change and Great Lakes Water Levels: What are the potential impacts? What can we do?" March 30, 2001, USEPA Great Lakes National Program Office, Chicago, IL

2 "Climate Change and Water Ecology: What are the potential impacts? What can we do?", June 15,
2001,
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee,

3 "Climate Change and Agriculture in the Great Lakes Region: The Potential Impacts & What We Can Do.", March 22, 2002
Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

4 "Climate Change & Terrestrial Ecosystems of the Great Lakes Region: The Potential Impacts and What We Can Do.", June 21, 2002
Minnesota Valley Wildlife Refuge
Bloomington, MN

5 "Climate Change & Winter Tourism: What are the Potential Impacts & What Can We Do.", November 8, 2002
Crystal Mountain Ski Resort, Thompsonville, MI
Appendix II - Full Workshop Agenda

Impact of Climate Change on the Great Lakes Ecosystem - A NOAA Science Needs Assessment Workshop to Meet Emerging Challenges

AGENDA – Note: Individual Presentations are posted as pdf files at: http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/Programs/climate_change/cc_workshop_agenda.html

TUESDAY JULY 29, 2008 - Current state of scientific knowledge of present and expected future impact of climate change on the Great Lakes ecosystem, with prime focus on effects in coastal waters and connecting channels

8:00 AM – Check-in / Continental Breakfast SNR&E Commons

8:30 AM – Introduction / Welcome

Dr. Stephen B. Brandt, Director NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL)

Note: All July 29th and 30th presentations and panels will be held in Rm. 1040 Dana Building, School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan Central Campus, Ann Arbor, MI

8:45 AM – Science Panel #1 - Physical Environment – Seasonal Warming/ Cooling, Vertical Temperature Profiles and Ice Extent/ Duration Session Chair – Dr. Jia Wang, Ice Climatologist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory

8:45 AM – Dr. Xuezhi Bai, Research Investigator, University of Michigan School of Natural Resources and Environment / Cooperative Institute for Limnology and Ecosystems Research, Interannual Variability of Lake Ice and Internal Climate Teleconnection Patterns (Co-Author: Wang, J.)


9:15 AM – Dr. Jia Wang, Ice Climatologist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Projections of the Great Lakes Climate in the 21st Century and Coupled Lake-Ice Modeling

9:45 AM - Break

10:00 AM - Science Panel #2 - Water Quantity – Lake Levels and Flows in Connecting Channels - Session Chair – Ms. Cynthia Sellinger, NOAA/Great Lakes
Environmental Research Laboratory

10:00 AM  Dr. Thomas E. Croley II, Senior Research Hydrologist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory - Great Lakes Sensitivity to Paleo Climate Change

10:15 AM  Ms. Cynthia Sellinger, Hydrologist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory - The Rise and the Fall of Great Lakes Water Levels

10:30 AM  Dr. Brent Lofgren, Physical Scientist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory - Modeling to Address Open Questions on the Future of Great Lakes Climate

10:45 AM  Q&A / Discussion

11:00 AM – Science Panel # 3 - Watershed Hydrology
   Session Chair – Dr. Carlo DeMarchi, Research Investigator, Cooperative Institute for Limnology and Ecosystems Research

11:00 AM – Dr. Norman Grannemann, U.S. Geological Survey, Great Lakes Program Coordinator, Changes in Ground Water Conditions from Possible Changes in Climatic Conditions in the Great Lakes Basin

11:15 AM – Dr. Veronica Webster Griffis, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Flood Frequency and Other Surface Water Phenomena

11:30 AM - Dr. Chansheng He, Department of Geography, Western Michigan University, Climate Change and Non-Point Source Pollution in the Great Lakes Basin: Opportunities and Challenges (Co-Authors: Croley, T.E. II and C. DeMarchi)

12:00 PM – Lunch – Catered buffet SNR&E Commons

1:00 PM - Science Panel # 4 Water Quality and Human Health
   Session Chair – Ms. Sonia Joseph, Outreach Coordinator, Center of Excellence for Great Lakes and Human Health / Michigan Sea Grant

1:00 PM – Dr. Michael Murray, Staff Scientist, National Wildlife Federation, Great Lakes Natural Resources Office, Climate Change, Water Quality and Human Health: Some Research and Policy Questions

1:15 PM – Dr. Donna Kashian, Research Investigator, Cooperative Institute for Limnology and Ecosystems Research Climate-induced Changes in Organic Material Influences Contaminant Exposure in Aquatic Systems

1:30 PM - Dr. Carlo DeMarchi, Cooperative Institute for Limnology
and Ecosystems Research, Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Pathogen and Pesticide Contamination of Coastal Water (Co-Authors: Croley, T.E II, Hunter, T.S. and H. Chansheng)

2:00 PM – Science Panel #5 Fish Recruitment and Productivity
Session Chair – Dr. Doran Mason, Research Ecologist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory

2:00 PM – Dr. Edward S. Rutherford, Associate Research Scientist, School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan, Impact of Climate Change on Salmon Recruitment in the Great Lakes


2:30 PM – Dr. Doran Mason, Research Ecologist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Climate change: Implications for Fish Growth Performance in the Great Lakes (Co-authors: Brandt, S.B, McCormick, M.J., Lofgren, B., Hunter T. and J.A.Tyler)

3:00 PM – Break

3:15 PM – Science Panel #6 Aquatic Invasive Species
Session Chair – Dr. Rochelle Sturtevant, Great Lakes Regional Extension Educator, Michigan Sea Grant

3:15 PM – Dr. Cindy Kolar, Assistant Program Coordinator, Invasive Species Program U.S. Geological Survey (title TBD)

3:30 PM - Dr. Henry Vanderploeg, Research Ecologist, NOAA/Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Surprising Synergies Between Invasive Species and Climate Impacts (Co-Authors: Pothoven, S.A., Fahnenstiel, G.L. and Nalepa, T.F.)

3:45 PM – Dr. J. Michael Campbell, Department of Biology, Mercyhurst College, Can Climate Change Make the Aquatic Invasive Species Problems in the Great Lakes Any Worse Than They Already Have Been?

4:15 PM – Closing remarks and announcements

5:00 PM – Public Keynote Address, 1800 Chemistry Building – Dr. Henry N. Pollack, Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geology, University of Michigan, Hockey Sticks and Politics: Science in the Arena of National Climate Policy

6:00 – 8:00 PM - Reception – SNR&E Commons
**WEDNESDAY, JULY 30, 2008 -** Key stakeholder issues and concerns in confronting anticipated impacts of climate change on the Great Lakes ecosystem - meeting new challenges in use, management, protection and restoration of resources.

8:00 Continental Breakfast, SNR&E Commons

8:30 AM – Morning Welcome - Dr. Stephen B. Brandt, Director NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL)

8:45 AM – Dr. Rosina M. Bierbaum, Dean, School of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Michigan
*Climate Change: From Science to Solutions*

9:45 AM – Break

10:00 AM - Stakeholder Panel #1 **Recreation and Tourism**
*Moderator: Ms. Melinda Huntley, Ohio Sea Grant*

10:00 AM - Mr. Andrew Struck, Director of Planning and Parks, Ozaukee County Planning and Parks Department, Port Washington, WI, *Ozaukee County, A Coastal Community Case Study: Potential Impacts on Water-based Recreation and Tourism*

10:15 AM – Dr. John Coluccy, Manager of Conservation Planning, Duck Unlimited Inc., *Conserving Waterfowl and Wetlands in the Great Lakes Amid Climate Change*

10:30 AM – Ms. Rachel McNinch, Center for Water Sciences, Michigan State University, *Climate Change and Water Safety in the Great Lakes*

11:00 AM – Stakeholder Panel # 4 **Land Use and Coastal Zone Managers**
*Moderator: Mr. Frank Lichtkoppler*

11:15 AM - Ms. Catherine Ballard, Chief, Michigan Coastal Zone Management Program
*Coastal Management Considerations in Adapting to Climate Change; Preparing for a Climate-Resilient Coast*

11:30 AM – Ms. Sandra Kosek-Sills, Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation Program Coordinator, Office of Coastal Management, Ohio Department of Natural Resources, *Climate Change Challenges for Coastal Management in Ohio*

11:45 AM – Dr. James Hurley, Assistant Director for Research and Outreach, Wisconsin Sea Grant, *Sea Grant Planning and the Sustainable Coastal Development Focus Area: Implications from Climate Change*

12:00 PM – Lunch – Catered buffet, SNR&E Commons

1:00PM – Stakeholder Panel #2 **Commercial and Municipal Water Users**
Moderator: Ms. Sonia Joseph, Center of Excellence for Great Lakes and Human Health

1:00 PM – Mr. Jon Bloemker, District Supervisor, Saginaw District Office, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Potential Climate Change Impacts to Industry and Municipal Water Users

1:15 PM – Mr. Abed R. Houssari, Manager of Environmental Management and Resources, DTE Energy, Climate Change Challenges for Electrical Utilities

2:00 PM - Stakeholder Panel # 3 Regional, State, Tribal and Local Policymakers and Managers
Moderator: Ms. Barbara Liukkonen, Minnesota Sea Grant

2:00 PM – Mr. Tim Eder, Executive Director, Great Lakes Commission, Climate Change Challenges and Opportunities: Perspectives of a Regional Organization

2:15 PM – Mr. John Swanson, Executive Director, NW Indiana Regional Planning Commission, Planning for NW Indiana Shoreline Areas

2:30 PM – Mr. Frank Lichtkoppler, Extension Specialist, Ohio Sea Grant, Potential Climate Impacts Affecting Fishery Stakeholders

3:00 PM – Break

3:15 PM - Dr. Thomas R. Karl, Director, NOAA National Climatic Data Center, Weather and Climate Extremes in a Changing Climate

4:00 PM – Dr. Karl, Update on Present Status of a NOAA National Climate Service

4:15 PM – Day 2 Closing Remarks and Announcements

4:30 PM – Adjourn

Thursday, July 31, 2008

8:00 AM – Continental Breakfast, SNR&E Commons

8:30 AM – Pre-Breakout Briefing/Announcements SNR&E Rm. 1040, Ms. Sonia Joseph, Center of Excellence for Great Lakes and Human Health

9:00 AM - Begin six concurrent Facilitated Breakout Sessions

1) Physical Environment - SNR&E Rm.1028
   Facilitator - Mr. Frank Lichtkoppler
   Recorder – Mr. Ari Preston

2) Water Quantity – SNR&E Rm.1024
   Facilitator – Ms. Melinda Huntley
3) Watershed Hydrology – SNR&E Rm. Rm.1046
   Facilitator - Ms. Leslie Dorworth
   Recorder – Ms. Katie Coakley

4) Water Quality and Human Health – SNR&E Rm.1040
   Facilitator – Ms. Sonia Joseph
   Recorder – Ms. Katie Bush

5) Fish Recruitment and Productivity – SNR&E Rm.1064
   Facilitator - Ms. Margaret Lansing
   Recorder- Ms. Ann Marshall

6) Aquatic Invasive Species – SNR&E Rm.1006
   Facilitator - Dr. Rochelle Sturtevant
   Recorder – Ms. Lynne Chaimowitz

10:30 AM – Break

10:45 AM – Continue six concurrent Facilitated Breakout Sessions

12:00 PM - Buffet Lunch, SNR&E Commons

1:00 PM – Joint Summary Session, SNR&E Rm. 1040 (Reports from six Breakouts and General Discussion) Ms. Sonia Joseph, Moderator

2:45 PM - Closing Remarks

3:00 PM - Adjourn
Appendix III – Listing of Issues, Needs and Suggestions from First and Second Breakout Sessions Within the Key Scientific Theme Areas – Physical Environment, Water Quantity, Watershed Hydrology, Water Quality and Human Health, Fish Recruitment and Productivity and Aquatic Invasive Species

Physical Environment – First Breakout

Information and Research Gaps / Needs
1. Relating ice to ecosystems, fisheries, energy balance and water balance
2. Downscaling to local level
3. More specific inputs to run forecast models
4. Forecasting lake level variation (getting it to the public)
5. How to use large scale modeling
6. Boundary conditions for models
7. Better data (too many gaps) to help us better understand overall trends
8. How ice affects erosion
9. Regional forecasting for nearshore currents
10. Defining variables for research
11. No ice data in GLCFS (Great Lakes Coastal Forecasting System)

Priority Areas

Immediate Needs (2-4 years)
1. Funding
2. NASA/NOAA not interested in data base development and improving system
3. Raw data not compatible
4. Research in form that is usable for managers (to save time)
5. Managers don’t know what to request or where to go
6. More networking, communication
7. Clearing house
8. Help managers find the data they need
9. Improve ecosystem, ice, water modeling
10. Biological and physical models

Long-term Needs (5-7 Years)
1. Build up better relationships between universities and GLERL
2. Close water balance, incorporate entire watershed
3. Regional Earth-System Model (hydrology, ice, ecosystem, hydrodynamic, atmospheric modeling) for Great Lakes
4. 1-2 km resolution for lakes, 5 km atmosphere
5. Global → Regional → Lake → Local
6. Funding for Earth-System model
7. Better collaboration with Canada (difficult)

Training Needs
1. Workshops like this one to get up to speed
2. Bring managers into workshops earlier so there is better communication
3. Bring in people from Natural Resource Agencies. Need resource managers to help define what is needed in decision making (DNR,CZM,Utilities)
4. GLOS has useful information (trying to increase database)
5. Deliver climate forecast information to local government

**Stakeholder Engagement - Who Are They? and How Do You Engage Them in the Issue?**
1. What do they use it for?
2. Communication gap
3. Science wants feedback (lacking)
4. Coastal management in Silver Springs, MD
5. Other agencies, local government in Gulf Coast
6. EMS → first response, hazard mitigation plan, climate change impacts
7. Getting data from Canadians
8. GLERL – Army Corps of Engineers, USGS
9. Wisconsin - state DNR
10. Local → Agency/Government/Public

**Scientific Products, Services and Expertise**
1. Results on internet
2. Field site (local concerns)
3. Customized for locals (sea grant/extension)
4. Direct contact
5. Training → local office staff
6. FEMA hard to get in touch with
7. Scientists need intermediate contact

**Communication of New Information, Tools, and Technologies**
1. Email update of research status (distribution lists) – avoid overload
2. Websites (provided in emails)
3. Get feedback on data
4. Phone for direct contact
5. Monthly newsletter (GLERL)
6. Possible quarterly newsletter?
7. Fitting climate change into larger framework of ecosystem management
8. Add climate change information to existing media outlets (magazines, newspapers)
9. More outreach to avoid time gap

**Conclusion**
1. Better coastal processes information
2. Research to support better management
3. 2-way communication system
4. Great Lakes coastal erosion gap (like AK)
5. Loss of wetlands – WI, NY 60% hard surface (need data)
6. Make case for funding and downscaling
7. Great Lakes hydrological model (1 watershed)
8. Feedback loop
9. 2-D → 3-D GLCFS – lake by lake (waves, surface temperature, profiles of temperature, currents, water levels)
10. Digital bathymetric map
11. Suggestions that storms will be more intense – forecast modeling?
12. Empirical, fast statistical modeling

**Physical Environment – Second Breakout**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products/services</th>
<th>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</th>
<th>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</th>
<th>Value to society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake level unified forecasting model (climate change)</td>
<td>100 m coastline, entire watershed (up to year)</td>
<td>universities, USGS, coastal management</td>
<td>help decision makers (management)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unified data base</td>
<td>lake-region wide US, Canada (back as far as possible)</td>
<td>US, Canada, GLERL, universities</td>
<td>improve research efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unified Great Lakes 3-D ice-hydrodynamic model</td>
<td>lake wide (up to 1 week) point source, light source</td>
<td>GLERL</td>
<td>useful for water balance, entire watershed dynamic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feedback loop</td>
<td>Great Lakes region</td>
<td>GLERL, Sea Grant, coastal managers, universities</td>
<td>improves products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D ecosystem model (physical + ice + biological)</td>
<td>hindcast, lake by lake → local (downscale)</td>
<td>universities, GLERL, Sea Grant, USGS, EPA</td>
<td>improving product (making it useful)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network outreach (needs assessment)</td>
<td>lake by lake, local</td>
<td>universities, GLERL, Sea Grant, USGS, EPA</td>
<td>making products more useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downscaling climate to regional impact</td>
<td>lake by lake</td>
<td>universities, GLERL, Sea Grant, USGS, EPA</td>
<td>making products useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct contact (training)</td>
<td>local level</td>
<td>universities, GLERL, Sea Grant, coastal training program</td>
<td>better understanding → better decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphological models (erosion)</td>
<td>local level – lake level (decades)</td>
<td>universities, GLERL, Sea Grant, USGS</td>
<td>better planning, design for coastal structures improvement of product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice modeling and forecasting</td>
<td>hindcast, lake by lake → entire watershed</td>
<td>GLERL, universities, NIC (ice center), USACE</td>
<td>primary productivity for fisheries, useful for navigation, coastal erosion, effect on storms, lake effect snowfall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Water Quantity – First Breakout**

**Information and Research Gaps / Needs**

1. Water quantity effects on economic vitality (ie. Shipping channels and ports)
2. Gaps in efficient ways of cost effective disposing of dredge materials (ie. PCB’s)
3. Water balance future of great lakes is highly uncertain
4. Help user groups face uncertainty
5. Long term precipitation trends
6. Monitoring methods such as radar coverage
7. No evaporation pans, or equipment to measure evaporation / evapotranspiration
8. Speak to people making decisions on quantity of water (waterways)
9. Water level forecasts (long term), need more efficient models
10. Better understanding on impacts of extreme atmospheric events
11. Understanding tolerance level of uncertainty within user groups
12. More plausible time series models (precipitation, temperature)
13. How sensitive are the lakes to temperature increases
14. Which impacts have a larger influence - temperature increases or precipitation decrease/increases?
15. High res. topography/digital elevation maps for modeling purposes
16. Ground water (aquifer)/flood plan mapping
17. Practical applications of research
18. Short term/long range forecasting
19. Defining/forecasting effects of extreme events in terms of low-flow, base-flow, extreme flood events
20. Better understanding of impacts of channelization and changes in inflows and outflows
21. Thermal structure of the lakes
22. Better access in use of community grass roots monitoring programs (ie. Friends of….)

Priority Areas

Immediate Needs (2-4 Years)

1. Research identifying economic value of climate change research needs (justify investment in research needs) (1)
2. Better understanding of prediction of extreme events and quantifying impact of land use alternatives. (2)
3. Establishing better observing/reporting networks and model outputs (3)
4. Additional research teams/funding and comprehensive plan
5. Research on better understanding decision making process of users
6. Are the water levels going to go up or down (5 to 10 year increments) and what is the uncertainty

Long-term Needs (5-7 Years)

1. More consistent, effective, and continuous monitoring
2. Direct measurement technology
3. Alignment of polices with current capabilities
4. Climate model capabilities for 5-10 year scale
5. Research needs into the impacts of water quantity removal from the basin (2007 Ground Water Conservation Advisory Committee)
6. Demand forecasting
7. Demand management research
Training Needs
1. Coastal Services Center workshops/on the ground training
2. User/science combined workshops (translate uncertainty)
3. Congressional staff training
4. Communicate importance of Great Lakes to entities
5. Finding synergy between local communities training needs and data needs
6. More extension and outreach

Stakeholder Engagement – Who Are They? and How Do You Engage Them in the Issue?
2. Fisheries
3. Ports
4. Marinas
5. Resource managers
6. Public health
7. Property owners
8. Public utilities
9. Tourism
10. Emergency managers
11. Planning/zoning
12. Local/state/federal government officials
13. Consultants
14. Recreational boaters

Scientific Products, Services and Expertise - What and where have you sought scientific products, services, expertise?
1. Need for a gateway to effectively deliver services
2. Need to continually reach out to direct stakeholders
3. Lake level/forecast information
4. Coastal States Organizations
5. Seamless networks between NOAA agencies

Communication of New Information, Tools, and Technologies
1. Need to more/better use communication networks
2. Better organized material on web
3. FAQ sites
4. Better product development
5. Focus on information content vs. agency identification
6. Be more aware of user needs and capabilities
7. Importance of peer review and reliability
8. Taking advantages of new technology and participatory
9. Increase human touch
10. Need to communicate non-computer literate stakeholders
11. More input from users

Conclusions

Water Quantity - Second Breakout
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products/services</th>
<th>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</th>
<th>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</th>
<th>Value to society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Producing water level 2-3 year outlooks</td>
<td>Lake wide national outreach focus (individual lakes)</td>
<td>- USGS  - Environment Canada  - Regional models driven by global projections  - Sea Grant  - State Coastal Zone Management - Stronger connection to core networks - NERR - Bi-national inter-agency product</td>
<td>- Influence on policy  - Increase awareness of issue  - Allocation of budget  - Minimizing economic loss- Identifying national/inter-national focus of the Great Lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product to help users/planners adapt to extreme events and plan mitigation</td>
<td>- Local  - 2 to 3 year reaction times</td>
<td>- County engineers  - Local watershed groups  - Emergency management teams - FEMA - EPA - State geological - Meteorology community - Utilities - State environmental agencies</td>
<td>- Minimize loss of life and property  - Watershed management programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully support implementation of IOOS observing systems on the Great Lakes</td>
<td>- Basin wide  - Lake wide</td>
<td>- USGS  - Fish and wildlife service  - EPA  - Environment Canada  - Public utilities</td>
<td>- Saves property and lives  - Improves forecasts  - Reduces uncertainty - Help planning for future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watershed Hydrology – First Breakout**

Information and Research Gaps / Needs
1. Public and construction sector need access to education/information
2. Inadequate information pertaining to water quality, measuring precipitation, stream flow gauges
3. Social sciences are missing

Priority Areas

Immediate Needs (2-4 Years)
1. Studies on cost/benefit ratio under climate change scenarios
2. Design infrastructure based on long term scale
3. Model Integration - Climate watershed model for all Great Lakes
4. Improve extreme weather event forecasting - drought, floods, air quality
5. Project changes in watershed parameters
6. Crops/agriculture, erosion, natural vegetation
7. Urban growth model - land usage, infrastructure, parking lots
8. How to mitigate flood peaks without building dams -
   a) Decreasing abrupt flooding
   b) Reestablishing wetlands and rain gardens
   c) Wetland restoration and flood attenuation in important areas
   d) Which part of wetlands should be restored?
9. Management of pollution sources and water quantity
   a) Better models/practices for NP loadings in response to increased precipitation
10. Collaboration -
   a) USGS
   b) USDA
   c) USACE
   d) EPA
   e) State and Local Agencies
   f) Universities
   g) NGO’s
   h) Coast Guard
   i) FEMA
   j) Park Services

Training Needs

Stakeholder Engagement

Scientific Products, Services and Expertise

Communication of New Information, Tools, and Technologies

Conclusion

Watershed Hydrology - Second Breakout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products/services</th>
<th>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</th>
<th>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</th>
<th>Value to society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve floodplain maps/ flood forecasting systems</td>
<td>Dependent on scale of community</td>
<td>USGS, stream gauges and cooperators, FEMA, insurance industry</td>
<td>Personal safety, economic security, minimizing damage to infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutionalize water quality models</td>
<td>Great Lakes, 10’s of square kilometers</td>
<td>Inter-agency collaboration, state environmental agencies, EPA, Coast Guard, USGS, NWS</td>
<td>Beach closures, quality of drinking water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present and projected climate maps</td>
<td>Global to state to zip code</td>
<td>Universities, USDA, Park Service</td>
<td>Public education, agriculture and forestry industries, research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Water Quality and Human Health – *First Breakout***

**Information and Research Gaps / Needs**

1. Quantify nutrient loading to watersheds and quantity of water
2. Groundwater nutrient concentrations: sub-marine groundwater discharge  
   a. Climate change may affect amount of exchange
3. Frequency, duration and intensity of bacteria affecting beach closures
4. Survival of bacteria
5. Financial implications and economic implications of bacterial infections at community level and effects on human health
6. Incorporate more physical information for predictive models for watersheds, algal blooms, etc  
   a. Hydrologic information  
   b. Integrate data along standards to make more comparable: include similar parameters across models
7. What are the recommendations for urban infrastructure?
8. Fish populations affected by contaminants and implications for subsistence fishing and lower socioeconomic communities
9. Specific recommendations for dealing with water quality changes: how to adapt
10. Communicate tangible impacts of climate change to the public
11. Concern over lake levels-affecting tourism, shipping channels
12. New water treatment techniques: treating contaminants like microcystis
13. New demands on water treatment infrastructure due to climate change and potential for more storms
14. Integrate tributary and watershed data into problems in bays and lakes
15. Influence of climate on toxic chemical cycling and impact on human exposure
16. Quantify changes in potential health effects: water and airborne
17. Exposure routes and vectors affecting human health
18. Develop link with local policy makers about scientific information-best ways to communicate clearly without losing the complexity of the problem
19. Decision making tools policy makers use and what are the implications for human health
20. Assessing cost/benefit
21. How policy makers prioritize

Priority Areas

Immediate Needs (2-4 Years)
1. Better coordinating of groups working on similar problems or issues
2. Centralize information about people and projects (website)- invasive species, using GLANSIS or GLRRIN as a model
3. Document and organize all the data we have about algal blooms to see if there really are trends due to climate change-an inventory
4. Characterize and prioritize potential health risks from toxic chemical cycling, water treatment etc to see which may be most harmful
5. Utilizing predictive tools to develop effective models
6. Experimental research-what are the environmental conditions leading to algal blooms
7. Develop risk assessment for potential impacts-human health, economic impacts
8. Develop specific climate change scenarios to define the problem (fish harvesting, algal blooms, beach closures) 
   a. What are specific consequences (water flow, water quality)
   b. What are human needs and how might they change?
9. Prioritize those needs
10. Address issues of infrastructure for future planning (utility, municipal planning) 
    a. What are the needs of local governments?
    b. User-based needs assessments
11. Assessing water intakes in the lakes and wastewater discharges 
    a. Inventories of shipping channels, marinas-which may be most affected by lowered water levels
    b. What could happen?
12. Socioeconomic and health implications of lack of access to water
13. Information on watershed discharges affecting water quantity-water intakes

Long-term Needs (5-7 Years)

1. Responding to current findings
2. Continue sustainability of current quality of life-recreation, commerce
3. Cultural and behavioral changes to mitigate effects of impacts 
   a. Energy conservation, water usage etc
   b. Help the public understand effects of climate change
   c. Consequences of use of biofuels as an example
4. Develop greater public transportation infrastructure
5. Cost and planning for developing new infrastructure in response to climate change
6. Develop information on water elevation levels
7. Effectiveness of best-use practices in minimizing human health risks

Training Needs

Stakeholder Engagement
1. Research community
2. Community and local health departments-bridge gap between local policy makers and scientific research
3. Assess water users and their needs-beach users, anglers, recreational users etc
4. Engage local, state officials, elected officials
   a. Economic development agencies,
5. Non-profits, watershed groups, outreach to faith community, economically disadvantaged
6. Opening communication between conflicting groups, eliminate distrust
7. Building collaboration between development and environment groups
8. Engage members of groups (Sierra Club, other NGOs etc) who are ready and willing to take action

Scientific Products, Services and Expertise
1. Infrastructure needs come from EPA reports, regional councils, regional authorities
   a. Techniques and effectiveness of infrastructure needs from consultants and private sector
   b. Executive summaries
   c. Web-based searches
2. Media reports raise public interest
3. Scientific information from technical reports, professional journals
4. Regional partnerships and information sharing
5. Water and wastewater utilities, marinas, port authorities
6. Personal contact with researchers-understand what may not have worked in the past
7. Observations and anecdotal reports for additional information
8. Federal and State agencies

NOAA Communication of New Information, Tools, and Technologies
1. Have NOAA people interact with state and regional agencies
2. Personal contact to share NOAA’s information
3. Explain what is new on the outreach side to the researchers and what’s new with researchers on the outreach end
4. Give people information directly-brief bulletins
5. Outreach to non-scientific community
6. Email similar to GLNPO-announce upcoming events

Conclusion

Water Quality and Human Health - Second Breakout
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Products/services</strong></th>
<th><strong>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</strong></th>
<th><strong>Value to society</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data collection on nutrient loading where there are gaps from all possible sources</td>
<td>Coastal zones, nearshore</td>
<td>Federal agencies and universities, state agencies</td>
<td>Nutrient loading affects water quality, fish populations, algal blooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Needs:</strong> Frequency, concentration, speciation of bacteria relating to beach closures</td>
<td>Beach by beach specific Watershed by watershed Weekly/Daily during recreational season</td>
<td>State and local health departments and units of government, state EPA, USGS, beach managers</td>
<td>Economic impacts, human health protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources: Non-point, sewers, watershed sources, human and non-human Utilize models, raw data,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources (point and non-point) and pathways of chemical pollutants and environmental factors</td>
<td>Lake, river, tributary, coastal area wide Monthly, storm event related</td>
<td>International collaborations, state, federal, industry, IJC, watershed groups</td>
<td>Human health, economic risks, affect on algal blooms (phosphorous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Develop sensor technology for monitoring beach bacteria levels</strong></td>
<td><strong>Continuous data stream</strong></td>
<td><strong>Universities, USGS, local authorities, state</strong></td>
<td><strong>Human health risks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predictive model assessing the effect of increased storm/rainfall events on CSO plans and long term control plans</strong></td>
<td><strong>Individual wastewater utility Yearly (individual storm trends) or seasonally as it relates to storm events</strong></td>
<td><strong>EPA, city planners and public works, regional planning authorities, state</strong></td>
<td><strong>Protect drinking water, beach closings, efficient resource use</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predictive model for assessing impacts of climate change on overall water quality Including biological and chemical, physical parameters</strong></td>
<td><strong>Lake regions, multi-counties (e.g. Lake Erie Eastern, Central, Western basins)</strong></td>
<td><strong>State and federal EPA, IJC, health departments, agriculture extension</strong></td>
<td><strong>How we may need to adapt to climate change,</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fish Recruitment and Productivity – First Breakout**

**Information and Research Gaps / Needs**
Understanding the coupling of climate change on Regional and basin scale to fisheries recruitment and production:

1. Continue long term Ecological Long Term Monitoring studies/data sets, and integrate new long term studies, (e.g. seasonal (weekly to bi weekly) interdisciplinary studies on other trophic levels, physics, and chemistry)
2. Improve collaborations with other Great Lakes research institutions
3. Link physical and biological sciences together towards understanding and predicting fish recruitment and productivity
4. Quantify seasonal variation in density and distribution of fish life stages and food web interactions to address recruitment hypotheses
5. Identify and quantify linkages (in transport of nutrients, sediments and biota) between inshore and offshore zones
6. Document extremes in inter-annual variability across the food web and physical environment
7. Develop a predictive understanding of habitat shifts and range expansions due to landuse and climate changes
8. Understand the effects of climate change on fish diseases (frequency, magnitude, and potentially new diseases) and existing and potentially new invasive species
9. Develop short term hypothesis-driven studies and models (statistical models, simulation models, food web models, bio-physical coupling models)
10. Produce comprehensive hi-resolution mapping efforts, e.g. bathymetry, bottom type, and species associations
11. Pay increased attention to watershed-Great Lakes linkages

Priority Areas
Immediate Needs (1-2 Years)
1. Identify indicator fish species most vulnerable to climate change impacts
2. Synthesize current knowledge re: fish recruitment and productivity via a special journal issue that considers impacts of climate change on the Great Lakes
3. Quantify economic impacts of climate change on commercial industry, sports fishery and the need to modify outdated regulations

Immediate Needs (2-5 Years)
4. High resolution mapping of critical habitat, e.g. spawning success, thermal structure
5. Understand how interannual variability in the physical environment may impact current food web dynamics?
6. Determine the impacts of ice cover on fish recruitment and production (especially Lake Erie)
7. Determine the importance of nearshore zones for fish recruitment and how this affects offshore aquatic communities,
8. Changes on fishery harvest and its impact on economically important species
9. Importance of ultraviolet radiation and deep light penetration on growth and survival of plankton and fish early life stages
10. Understand factors influencing the spatial distributions of fishes at various life stages,
11. Quantify interannual variability in the carrying capacity of the lakes to support fish production
12. Role of nearshore and offshore benthic productivity pathways and implications

Long-term Needs (5-10 Years) Meeting long-term needs will be facilitated by perpetuation of long term data sets, design for minimalist research program, commitment for funding and personnel, proactive approach, a long-term scientific vision beyond tenure of leadership, and infrastructure improvements to make better use of existing field stations. Examples of long-term surveys to address information needs include USGS-GLSC trawl survey, and Oneida Lake.

Priority areas:
1. Couple regional climate models with 3-D physical lake models and stream habitat for fish recruitment dynamics
2. Determine how wetlands may change due to anticipated large scale changes in land use, and how this will influence fish recruitment and productivity
3. Evaluate current fishery programs, e.g. lamprey controls, stocking programs, species restoration, invasives in the context of climate change senarios.
4. Make forecasts from bio-physical models driven by various climate change scenarios, and use these forecasts to develop probabilistic management strategy
5. Develop adaptive management scenarios for climate change, e.g., fish stocking at different locations, preserving critical fish habitats
6. Determine the synergistic effects of climate change and other environmental stressors (e.g. nutrient loading, invasive species, fishing, contaminants, etc) on aquatic food webs and fisheries
7. Determine impacts of climate change on the lower food web
8. Role of nearshore benthic productivity pathways and implications

Training Needs
1. Improve opportunities for interactions amongst disciplines, e.g. bring in sociologists, economists, climatologists,
2. Communications skills in short term training sessions, e.g. continuing education workshops for media, press, and mapping
3. Add courses in fisheries climatology to existing university programs in climate change and aquatic ecology and fisheries; create cross-disciplinary internships for study of climate change impacts on fisheries
4. NOAA headquarters management development programs: sponsored training: cross line office, cross discipline, do something in climate context
5. Climate extension service, interact with state climatologists
6. Learn from other national and international programs on climate change and fisheries.

Stakeholder Engagement
1. Stakeholders include management agencies, fisheries industry, anglers, charter fisheries, duck hunters, bird watchers, educators at all levels, industry, farmers, developers, property owners, coastal communities, decision makers, politicians
2. Engage stakeholders through media, existing outlets e.g. NGO’s, Sea Grant
3. Assessments should be geared towards stakeholders, e.g. documents on-line
4. Scientists should brief high level groups annually (state level government, Science Advisory Board, Natural Resource Commission),
5. Provide Ecosystem Forecasts for different interest groups. Identify needs and interests of various groups and what information they would like to know (e.g. forecast where fish are for anglers)
6. Communicate long-term data trends to stakeholders - this will help stimulate public support for funding; example: Michigan DNR provides red flag reports on an annual basis to characterize health of salmon fisheries and food webs. Provide climate report cards
7. Engage stakeholders thru media, existing outlets (e.g. NGO’s, Sea Grant).
8. Provide assessments geared towards stakeholders (e.g. documents on-line)
9. Scientists should provide annual briefings to high level management groups (state government, Science Advisory Boards, Natural Resource Commission),

**Scientific Products, Services and Expertise**

1. Deliver information to decision makers to facilitate good decision making about quotas, make fisheries sustainable
2. Use existing organizations and mechanisms that are charged with communicating (ex. NGOs, Sea Grant)
3. Use existing venues, but bring inter-disciplinary groups together on a regular basis (climatologists, social scientists, aquatic ecologists, limnologists)
4. Scientific symposium on implications of climate change on Great Lakes fishery

**NOAA Communication of New Information, Tools, and Technologies**

1. Designate as new theme area at various agencies, mention in call for proposals (Sea Grant, Great Lakes Fisheries Commission, Great Lakes Fishery Trust)
2. Improve/Increase use of web technologies to deliver results of research.
3. Use or develop a shared information portal among different agencies (could be Great Lakes Information Network site) which attracts funding
4. Write peer reviewed journal articles
5. Create an endowed chair in climate change studies at academic institutions in Great Lakes basin

**Conclusion**

**Fish Recruitment and Productivity - Second Breakout**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products/services</th>
<th>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</th>
<th>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</th>
<th>Value to society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satellite measures of water color for chlorophyll-a</td>
<td>Lake wide, 0.5 - 1 km resolution</td>
<td>Ground truthing calibration on transects and fixed stations Span state and</td>
<td>Primary production data will facilitate more accurate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Beneficiaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastwatch web site</td>
<td><a href="http://coastwatch.glerl.noaa.gov/">http://coastwatch.glerl.noaa.gov/</a></td>
<td>Span state and federal agencies, universities, Great Lakes Observing System (GLOS)</td>
<td>Recreational boaters and anglers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance Very High Resolution radiometer AVHRR Etc.</td>
<td>Need higher resolution depiction, especially nearshore</td>
<td>Shipping industry To supply data</td>
<td>Charter Fishing Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Regional Climate Change Model</td>
<td>By lake and basin wide</td>
<td>NOAA Climate Groups, Environment Canada</td>
<td>All of above, plus shipping and boating industry; weather forecasters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-models of above: Statistical models: look at El Nino, La Nina,</td>
<td>By lake and basin wide</td>
<td>OMNR, NOAA Climate Group Span state and federal, provincial, tribal agencies, universities,</td>
<td>Weather forecasters, Scientists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D hydrodynamic modeling</td>
<td>Increase number of strata Adaptive gridding for nearshore versus offshore Improve vertical water movement Turbulence coefficient</td>
<td>Ground truthing calibration on transects and fixed stations</td>
<td>Resource managers, Property owners, Water users, Law Enforcement, EPA, Anglers, Academic community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Bottom boundary exchange | Improved 3D Temp. | Ground-truthing, calibration on transects and fixed stations  
Short term hypothesis driven research (mechanisms and processes  
Span state and federal, provincial, tribal agencies, universities, GLOS,  
Scientists, Resource managers, EPA, Anglers, Academic community |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bio-physical food web model (fish recruitment, Using 3-D hydrodynamic model)</td>
<td>See above</td>
<td>All of the above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| State of the art sampling technology (nets, sensors, acoustics, optics, towed vehicles, Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs), buoys, ROVs, affordable technology) | Milli-seconds – years (all) 
cm to km  
affordable technology | Span state and federal, provincial, tribal agencies, universities, GLOS, Industry, technology developers |
| High resolution habitat mapping (physical and biological) | 1-meter | Scientists and managers  
Developers, managers, scientists |
| Improved Technology: observation platforms (towed vehicles, AUVs, buoys, acoustics: improving Real-time, near real-time information delivery, add more sensors) | Fixed station  
Spatial res: cm to 10s of meters  
Temporal: seconds to hours | Span state and federal, provincial, tribal agencies, universities, GLOS,  
Developers, managers, scientists, and commercial harvesters |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service: Rapid delivery of information using traditional sampling techniques via most appropriate medium</th>
<th>Meters to km</th>
<th>Span state and federal, provincial, tribal agencies, universities, GLOS,</th>
<th>Provides information needed for science, management, and safe and efficient commerce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forecast fish recruitment and productivity, as a function of climate change scenarios</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Scientists, managers, harvesters, coastal communities, planners</td>
<td>Managers, anglers, commercial fishers, scientists</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Aquatic Invasive Species – First Breakout**

**Information and Research Gaps / Needs**

1. AIS experts
2. Species shift information is limited
3. Experimentation methods are missing
4. Literature is speculative
5. Aquatic Nuisance Species Management Plans
6. Forecasting potential impact
7. There is no identification of high risk areas or highly vulnerable areas in the Great Lakes and analysis of different scenarios of what could possibly happen.
8. Looking at specific areas that are identified for future planning.
10. Are there other diseases or pathogens in other parts of the world that could come due to climate change?
11. Identified likely invaders
12. Develop a list of species not considering future warming of the water temperature and nearshore areas
13. Future scenario of lower lake levels and potential problems
14. Predictive models, make the prevention case
15. Economic and ecologic effect, (i.e. looking at marshes, invertebrates, fish recruitment)
16. Are there ways to intercept the pathways?
17. Biological control research?
18. Support mitigation, look at southern states control, methods/ technologies
19. **Will climate change cause mass northward shifts in whole communities or large segments of communities?** If so, should ‘new’ species introduced via range expansion be considered native or non-native? Should we be...
facilitating northward migrations of species adapting to human-induced climate change or trying to preserve historic communities that are no longer well adapted to the local climate? If there are native species which are lost from the Great Lakes due to such range shifts -- particularly if those species are of social or economic value – should we be facilitating the introduction of other species to fill the vacant niches?

21. Algae and the relationship with the quagga mussels.
22. Demand for biofuels…and the invasive species are usually targeted, what is the likelihood of biofuel plants becoming invasive
23. Wind turbines, what is the impact of invasive species on this?
24. Genetic changes/ adaptations
25. Disease rates and parasites
26. Increased human dimension, more pressure on shorelines, land use and impact on the nearshore area

Priority Areas

Immediate Needs (2-4 Years)
1. Data to look at trends- monitoring
2. Scenarios
3. Key geographic areas to target resources
4. Predictive models for vulnerable species, loss of natives, predator-prey relationships, energy flow changes
5. Algae/quagga mussel relationship
6. Examining phosphorus trapping/ biofuels harvesting
7. Other immediate needs:
   a) Identify likely invaders
   b) Look at disease pathogens and parasites
   c) Biocontrols (prevention- make sure they won’t be invasive).
   d) Make sure energy solutions are not creating other problems.
   e) Climate-> erosion -> dredging -> contaminants -> Dreissenids (resuspension)

Long-term Needs (5-7 Years)
1. Change in lake level and what that is going to do to coastal wetlands
2. Look to southern states for control methods/technology
3. Offshore development technology impact on invasive species
4. Wind power
5. Vector shifts
6. Flooding as a vector

Training Needs
1. Fisheries best practices for managers
2. More scientists in invasive species and climate
3. Monitoring, training for NR field staff (and the public), how to identify and how to identify unusual species. Heightened awareness. What to do when you see an invasive species?
   a) Especially high propugule overwintering
4. Satellite/aerial tools, Remotely-Operated Vehicles (ROVs) for monitoring and people trained how to use those
   a) For guiding control

**Stakeholder Engagement**

1. State and federal agencies
2. Recreational users
3. Watershed councils
4. The Nature Conservancy
5. Local/regional planners
6. Municipalities
7. Commercial interests
8. Research institutions
9. Policy Makers
10. General public
11. Marinas/coastal communities/land owners
12. Vector related industries

**Barriers**

1. Uncertainty
2. Active opposition
3. Vested interest in status quo
4. Defining invasive species
5. Competing priorities
6. Funding
7. Making the economic case
8. Terminology
9. Changing the social paradigm

**Scientific Products, Services and Expertise**

1. Staff scientists
2. Work with Sea Grant research
3. Federal agencies
4. Other states

**Communication of New Information, Tools, and Technologies**

1. Publications (peer reviewed and informal)
2. More coordination of the websites
3. Keeping in connection with projects as they are going on, stakeholder engagement
4. Enviromich
5. GL Aquatic Nuisance panel
6. State Partners
7. Information overload is possible - need to keep the public information simple and consistent in light of the huge uncertainties associated with both invasive species and climate changes and particularly at the interface of the two.
8. Michigan State Invasive Species Initiatives
9. IJC research inventory (don’t use)
10. NOAA or Sea Grant site
11. Google Earth and other new tool that could be applied to monitoring/tracking/mapping/communications about the distributions of invasive species.
12. Office of the Great Lakes
13. Booklets
14. List serves and clipping services

**Conclusion**

**Aquatic Invasive Species - Second Breakout**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is our target food web?</th>
<th>Products/services</th>
<th>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</th>
<th>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</th>
<th>Value to society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forecast, supported by a model * need science on likely invaders (extinctions to support)</td>
<td>Basin wide and each lake,</td>
<td>Research, social science, policy makers, GL Fishery Commission</td>
<td>Needs input from and engagement of a diverse array of stakeholders to make it successful</td>
<td>It is in interest in those groups, It is a value laden decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifying likely invaders and their impacts</th>
<th>Products/services</th>
<th>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</th>
<th>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</th>
<th>Value to society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modeling, forecasting, high risk vs. low risk rating lists, preventative education tools, legislator briefing – avoid species that are banned, informing legislation, identifying the most likely vectors</td>
<td>Time is relative to the temperature change, basin and lake levels (and sub-ecosystems), goes back to key geographic areas</td>
<td>University and researchers who have the data of where the invaders would come from, (donor areas) Communicate with most likely vectors to communicate risks (industries associated with vectors).</td>
<td>Being a step ahead of the potential socioeconomic damages that would occur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algae/quagga mussel</th>
<th>Products/services</th>
<th>Scale (temporal, spatial, geographical)</th>
<th>Collaborations, integration, and coordination</th>
<th>Value to society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved understanding, increase knowledge base, forecast,</td>
<td>Nearshore coastline areas, identify potential areas,</td>
<td>Research community, DNR parks and recreation, local</td>
<td>Huge issue right now, aesthetic and economic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Activity Details</td>
<td>Timeframe</td>
<td>Location/Context</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modeling based on temperatures and productivity, tool/process developed to interrupt, public education/outreach, economic impact, looking at the uses for the waste produce</td>
<td>targeted regional area, as soon as possible, forecast from next summer to several years</td>
<td>county parks, local government recreation departments. Sea Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Training on satellite aerial tools, making the imaging available, radars, <em>phragmites</em> location, training to get more people involved, standardized data collection process, determine if it overwintering, identify the responsible party (owner of doing this), public education and information management and connecting to response teams, mapping and database, GIS for overlay (water quality, use, endangered spp, vectors, temperature regime) to target high risk</td>
<td>Basin wide, and as fine as possible, BARRIERS: getting the information to the people who need it.</td>
<td>Michigan Recreational Boater Information System, states,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The table is a summary of various projects and activities, focusing on modeling and monitoring.*
Appendix IV - Table listing key issues, needs, activities and suggestions identified within each of the overarching subject categories during breakouts of the six Key Scientific Theme Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lake level unified forecast model that incorporates climate change impacts for entire Great Lakes watershed (100 m resolution - coastline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Unified Great Lakes three-dimensional ice-hydrodynamic model (lake-wide; up to one week; point source; light source)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Three-dimensional Great Lakes ecosystem model (physical, ice, biological; lake-by-lake downscaled to local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Long-term need for Great Lakes Regional Earth-System Model (hydrology, ice, ecosystem, hydrodynamic, atmospheric modeling); 1-2 km for lakes, 5 km for atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Convert two-dimensional Great Lakes Coastal Forecasting System (GLCFS) to three-dimensional model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Improved ice modeling and forecasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Improve forecast model capabilities to address anticipated climate change-related increase in intensity of storms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Regional nearshore current forecasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Environment</td>
<td>- Increase understanding of the role of ice on ecosystem structure and function related to fisheries, energy balance and water balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Expand understanding of coastal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Environment</td>
<td>Physical Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ▪ Overall need for better data to better understand overall trends (too many gaps) and unified lake-region-wide database  
  ▪ Raw data is not compatible  
  ▪ Managers need help in getting the data they need and direction on where to go for such information; create clearinghouse (2-4 years)  
  ▪ Get data from Canadians as means of promoting stakeholder engagement  
  ▪ Get feedback on data | ▪ Need to get lake level variation forecasts to public  
  ▪ Present research results in form that can be readily used by managers and decision makers (regional – local)  
  ▪ Plan and conduct research that supports better management  
  ▪ Encourage closer relationship between GLERL and universities  
  ▪ Foster greater collaboration with Canada  
  ▪ Science needs feedback from stakeholders to improve products  
  ▪ Use email, web, newsletters, media outlets to get word out on research activities/findings and science products, services, and expertise |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Make case for funding (of research and modeling) and downscaling</td>
<td>▪ Map case for funding (of research and modeling) and downscaling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Digital bathymetric maps lake- and region wide</td>
<td>▪ Digital bathymetric maps lake- and region wide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement</td>
<td>▪ Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
<th>Physical Environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Economically / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding</td>
<td>▪ Economically / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Water Quantity** | ▪ Improve Great Lakes water level forecast models to produce 2-3 year outlooks (lake-wide; outreach to make Great Lakes a national focus; individual lakes)  
▪ Product to help users/planners adapt to extreme events and plan mitigation (local; 2-3 year reaction time)  
▪ Fully support International Ocean Observing System (IOOS) on the Great Lakes (basin-wide; lake-wide)  
▪ More plausible time series models (precipitation, temperature)  
▪ Better understanding and prediction of extreme events (2-3 years into future) and quantifying impact of land use alternatives |
| **Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base** |  |
| **Water Quantity** | ▪ Assess and document the sensitivity of lakes to temperature increases including thermal structures  
▪ Develop a better understanding of impacts of channelization and changes in inflows and outflows on water quantity  
▪ Determine trends and in future water levels in 5-10-year increments (2-4 years)  
▪ Meet needs for research on water quantity removal from basin (2007 Groundwater Conservation Advisory Committee) |
| **Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems** |  |
| **Water Quantity** | ▪ Fully support implementation of the Great Lakes Observing System (GLOS) basin-wide and lake-wide  
▪ Establish better observing/reporting networks and model outputs (2-4 years)  
▪ Meet need for an instrumentation network to measure evaporation / evapotranspiration  
▪ Promote more consistent, effective and continuous monitoring |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Quantity</th>
<th>Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Find synergy between local community training needs and data needs</td>
<td>Develop high resolution topography / digital elevation maps in support of modeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create present and projected climate maps (global-state-zip code scales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quantity</td>
<td>Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure more/better use of communication networks and better organization of material on web</td>
<td>Lack of information and research gaps on water quantity effects on economic vitality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research identifying economic value of climate change research needs (justify value of research needs) (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional research teams/funding and comprehensive plan (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quantity</td>
<td>Forecasts, Models, Prediction, Outlooks, Scenarios, Predictive / Decision-Making Tools, Uncertainty, Risk and Risk Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve flood forecasting systems dependent on scale of community</td>
<td>Institutionalize Great Lakes water quality models via interagency collaboration (scale of 10”s of square kilometers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop integrated climate watershed model for all of the Great Lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop better models/practices for non-point loadings in response to increased precipitation in the management of pollution sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and water quantity</td>
<td>Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed Hydrology</td>
<td>- Assess projected changes in future watershed parameters and impacts of crops/agriculture, erosion, natural vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed Hydrology</td>
<td>- Inadequate data pertaining to water quality, measured precipitation and stream flow (gauges)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed Hydrology</td>
<td>- Support improvement of floodplain maps and flood forecasting systems dependent on scale of the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watershed Hydrology</td>
<td>There was a recognized need to better translate the developing knowledge on climate change and its likely impacts into changes in the everyday practice of consultants and public servants. An important tool for this purpose would be guidelines issued by professional associations, like the American Society of Civil Engineers and the American Water Works Association, in collaboration with NOAA about how to include the uncertain knowledge we have on climate change into infrastructure planning and operation. This would help consultants and public servants to overcome the lack of common practices on the subject. Such guidelines should be periodically updated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An additional instrument that could help in including Climate Change knowledge into present-day infrastructure planning are the continuous education courses that professionals must take for maintaining their licenses. NOAA should work
alone or with professional associations to develop courses regarding the possible climate change impact on hydrology and water quality and how to plan for minimizing their impact on the society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watershed Hydrology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Social sciences are missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Need studies on cost/benefit ratios under climate change scenarios</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecasts, Models, Prediction, Outlooks, Scenarios, Predictive / Decision-Making Tools, Uncertainty, Risk and Risk Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality and Human Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Predictive model assessing effects of increased storm/rainfall events on CSO plans and long term control plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Predictive model for assessing impacts of climate change on overall water quality (physical, chemical, biological)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Develop risk assessment for potential impacts of climate change on human health (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base

| Water Quality and Human Health                                                                                           |
| ▪ Quantify nutrient loading to watersheds, quantity of water and groundwater nutrient concentrations                   |
| ▪ Determine frequency, duration and intensity of bacteria affecting beach closures                                     |
| ▪ Expand understanding of how fish populations are affected by contaminants and implications for subsistence fishing and lower socioeconomic communities |
| ▪ Quantify climate-related changes in potential health effects (water and airborne) and exposure routes and vectors affecting human health |
| ▪ Identify conditions leading to algal blooms                                                                         |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality and Human Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Inadequate data pertaining to water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Water Quality and Human Health               | Communicate tangible impacts of climate change to the public  
|                                              | Identify infrastructure issues for future planning (utility, municipal planning); user-based and local government needs  
|                                              | Bridge gap between local health departments and scientific researchers  
|                                              | Encourage greater interaction between NOAA staff and state and regional agencies  
|                                              | Develop greater reliance on web, email, list serves, bulletins  

| Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding | Need to assess financial and economic implications of bacterial infections at community level and effects on human health; assess cost-benefits  
|                                                | Evaluate socioeconomic and health implications of lack of access to water (2-4 years)  

| Forecasts, Models, Prediction, Outlooks, Scenarios, Predictive / Decision-Making Tools, Uncertainty, Risk and Risk Assessment | Global regional Great Lakes climate change model (basin-wide and by lake) including statistical sub-models (El Nino, La Nina impacts)  
|                                                                                                                        | Improved three-dimensional hydrodynamic model (increased number of strata; adaptive nearshore vs. offshore gridding; improved vertical movement/turbulence; bottom boundary and temperature)  
<p>|                                                                                                                        | Bio-physical food web model (fish recruitment) coupled with 3-D |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research to increase understanding and/or to expand knowledge base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish Recruitment and Productivity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Determine how inter-annual variability, temperature and thermal structure interact with an unstable food web right now (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Examine spring season, impacts of ice cover (especially on Lake Erie) (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Assess impact of climate change on physical factors and subsequent effect on fish spatial distribution for various life stages (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify fish species most vulnerable to climate change impacts (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Study the role of nearshore/offshore benthic productivity pathways (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Document anticipated change in land use and corresponding change in wetland distribution and extent and subsequent effect on fish recruitment and productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Obtain a better understanding of influence of lower food web on fish recruitment and productivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish Recruitment and Productivity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Promote greater satellite monitoring of water color for chlorophyll-a (Coastwatch, AVHRR, lake-wide; 0.5 – 1 km resolution) with nearshore focus; groundtruthed calibration along transects and fixed stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Expand and improve technology of observation platforms (nets, sensors, acoustics, optics, remote- and autonomous underwater vehicle, buoys); cm – km ; milliseconds – years; real-time – near real-time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Perpetuation of long term data sets and monitoring, design minimalist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program with commitment, proactive approach and based on long term scientific vision beyond tenure of leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish Recruitment and Productivity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conduct comprehensive high resolution mapping, e.g. bathymetry, bottom type and associated species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Carry out high resolution mapping (physical and biological) of critical habitat, e.g. spawning success, thermal structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish Recruitment and Productivity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Offer continuing-education for media to improve communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop a climate extension service that would interact with state climatologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Look at what’s being done in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Expand reliance on existing organizations (NGO’s, Sea Grant) to improve communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Host scientific symposium on impacts of climate change on the Great Lakes fishery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Improve/increase use of web technologies; peer-reviewed journal articles; endowed university chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish Recruitment and Productivity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Assess consumptive fish use changes and the impact on economically-important species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Evaluate economic impacts on commercial fishery industry and sport fishery and need to modify regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Create more opportunities for different disciplines to interact (economists, social scientists, climatologists)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forecasts, Models, Prediction, Outlooks, Scenarios, Predictive / Decision-Making</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools, Uncertainty, Risk and Risk Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Invasive Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Basin-wide and lake-by-lake forecast models that identify high risk areas most vulnerable to AIS invasions, most likely invaders and/or native species most threatened with AIS-induced extinction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use forecast models and analysis to create likely scenarios of future species composition. What native species would be lost that are of societal and economic value? What is target food web?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Uncertainty about effects of climate change on AIS threats and outcomes is a barrier in promoting greater stakeholder engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Invasive Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Need improved understanding of algae/quagga mussel interactions to support models based on temperatures and productivity (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify vulnerable species and potential loss of native, predator-prey relationships and energy flow changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data, Data Sets, Databases, Monitoring and Observing Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Invasive Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Overall need for better data to better understand overall trends (too many gaps) and unified lake-region-wide database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Raw data is not compatible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Managers need help in getting the data they need and direction on where to go for such information; create clearinghouse (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Get data from Canadians as means of promoting stakeholder engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Get feedback on data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping, GIS, bathymetry and related activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Invasive Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify key high-risk geographic area to target resources (2-4 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with mapping, database and GIS for overlay (water quality, use, threatened and endangered species, vector, and temperature regimes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outreach, Communications, Collaboration, Scientist-Stakeholder Engagement</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Aquatic Invasive Species** | ▪ Increase production/dissemination of peer-reviewed and informal publications  
▪ Develop greater coordination among web sites  
▪ Increase use of list serves (e.g. Enviromich) and clipping services  
▪ Expand outreach in explaining the Algae/quagga mussel interaction and its impacts |
| **Economic / Societal Value, Cost-Benefit, Funding** |
| **Aquatic Invasive Species** | ▪ Need for more information on AIS economic and ecological impacts relative to climate change outlook  
▪ Lack of funding and failure to make the economic case are barriers to greater stakeholder engagement |